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Influence of litter source on soil splash rates and organic carbon loss in different soil horizons
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ABSTRACT

Organic litter stabilizes soil particles against the raindrop splash effect. To date, limited research has critically examined the effects of litter quality on soil aggregate detachment and soil organic carbon loss by raindrop splash impact. A study was conducted to determine the effects of different litter sources on quantity of splashed sediments and soil organic carbon (SOC) loss under simulated rainstorm patterns. Soils from seven sieved (< 0.25 mm) horizons mixed with either high-quality Vachellia karroo leaf (C/N = 23.8) and/or low-quality Zea mays stover litter (C/N = 37.4) were incubated in a laboratory for 30 weeks. Splashed sediments and SOC were measured at 1, 3, 8, 14, 23 and 30 weeks of incubation for each soil at 360 mm/h simulated rainfall intensity applied as either single 8-min rainstorm (SR) or 4 × 2-min intermittent rainstorms (IR) separated by a 72-h drying period. Organic litter significantly (P < 0.05) reduced the splashed sediments up to 8 and 14 weeks under IR and SR storms, respectively, and thereafter gradually lost its stabilizing effect on soil aggregates. In order to maintain low quantities of splashed sediments, fresh litter has to be re-applied after this stage. Generally, 13% and 25% more sediments were splashed under IR than SR at 1, 3 and 30, and 8, 14 and 23 weeks after incubation, respectively. Litter quality effect on splash sediments varied across soil horizons but were the same within a soil horizon. Soil horizons with more clay than sand particles had lower quantities of sediments. The SOC loss was influenced by the initial SOC content and primary particle size distribution. Rainstorm pattern and initial SOC content were the main factors that influenced SOC loss. However, more rainstorm patterns should be investigated for these soils.
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INTRODUCTION

Soil loss is a serious problem worldwide (Wolancho, 2012). Soil loss by water is the major form of soil erosion and consists of sequential events of particle detachment, entrainment, transport and deposition (Stern et al., 1991). Raindrops are erosive agents that initiate the movement of soil particles (Cheng et al., 2008). Soil splash by raindrop impact is the first event of soil erosion by water and supplies materials for subsequent transportation and entrainment (Nciiziah and Wakindiki, 2014). Movement of weakly aggregated soil particles is influenced by splash process, and soil characteristics such as texture and organic matter (Wudddivira et al., 2009). One of the conservation methods against soil erosion is the use of soil conditioners such as organic matter (OM). Yu and Meng (2000) noted that soil properties, such as soil structure and OM content, affect soil erodibility. Addition of OM to soil can be very effective in preventing soil erosion (Center for Watershed Protection, 2001) because the OM improves soil surface aggregation, and hence can resist raindrop splash effects (Sur and Ghuman, 1994). Studies related to rain splash erosion have focused mostly on the relationships between soil surface cover and rainfall characteristics and less on the relationship between intrinsic soil properties and the rainfall characteristics (Ezeabasili et al., 2014). Cheng et al. (2008) noted that intensity is the most important rain factor and has tremendous effects on rain splash while Nciiziah and Wakindiki (2014) observed that rainstorm pattern had pronounced effects on splash erosion. A soil that resists raindrop splash effects is considered to be more stable against erosion by water (Hozl et al., 2015).

Gao and Bao (2001) found linear relationships between amount of splashed sediments and the kinetic energy of rainfall, and also that the splashed sediment amount decreased with rainfall time. Rainfall of maximum intensity over a short period has the most significant effects on splash erosion (Jiang and Liu, 1989), though splash erosion also relates to soil properties. Gao and Bao (2001) found that the extent of soil particle detachment from the surface was associated with physical and chemical properties. Fan and Li (1993) concluded that soil particle distribution has a strong relation with splash erosion. However, the relationship between rain splash and soil properties was still unclear.

Splash erosion is a function of raindrop energy and the stability of aggregates which enables them to withstand the raindrop impact energy (Kukal and Sarkar, 2011). Therefore, the most effective conservative measures against splash erosion are the use of soil conditioners such as OM that enhance soil particle aggregation and physical barriers against raindrop impact (Sur and Ghuman, 1994). Organic matter has a significant effect on chemical and physical characteristics of soil and it is one of the essential components of soil quality assessment (Gregorich et al., 1994; Lal et al., 2004). Therefore, to preserve the quality of the soil, it is necessary to maintain a neutral or positive balance between the reduction of SOM by the addition of litter and dead animal material, and SOM loss by mineralization or by erosion (Lal et al., 2004). In many natural landscapes, erosion by water is the main agent redistributing SOM (Jacinthe et al., 2004) and, apart from mineralization, the depletion of SOM in soils has been related to the degree of soil erosion (Li et al., 2006). The loss of SOM as a result of water erosion reduces soil aggregation and stability. Many studies clearly report on the role of SOM in soil erodibility (Lal et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006) but are unclear on the effects of SOM quality on rain splash erosion in soils.
with different textures. Our study focused on splash erosion by collecting, in splash cups, the amount of splash generated after simulated rainfall events. The objectives of our study were to investigate the effects of rain splash in soils of contrasting texture amended with high quality *Vachellia karroo* leaf (C/N = 23.8) and low-quality *Zea mays* stover litter (C/N = 37.4) and to determine the organic carbon (OC) concentration in the splashed sediments.

**METHODOLOGY**

**Description of the study area**

A laboratory study was conducted at the University of Fort Hare, South Africa, using soils collected from the Ntabelanga area in the Eastern Cape Province of South Africa. Ntabelanga is located about 380 km south-east of the University of Fort Hare, between 31°7’ 35.9” S and 28°40’ 30.6” E. The Ntabelanga area falls in the sub-escarpment Grassland, and sub-escarpment Savanna Bioregions, South Eastern Uplands Aquatic Ecoregion and the Mzimvubu to Kieskamma Water Management Area (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). The Ntabelanga area receives an annual rainfall of about 749 mm, with most of it falling in December and January. The lowest (15 mm) average rainfall is received in June and the highest (108 mm) in January. The area is underlain by sedimentary rocks (Tarkastad and Karoo subgroups) and post-Karoo doleritic intrusions. There are also traces of mudflake conglomerates (Van Tol et al., 2014). The sub-humid grasslands in the Ntabelanga area suffer from severe gully erosion, even in areas covered by dense grass (Sonneveld et al., 2005; Van Tol et al., 2014). The soils in the study area had wide variations in soil texture and clay mineralogy of the Eastern Cape Province, and were generally shown to be quartz > mica > kaolinite > Chl-verm > haematite, in order of dominance (Mandiringana et al., 2005). The area is characterized by highly unstable soils that are prone to erosion, as evidenced by extensive areas of severe gully erosion on the inter-fluvial areas adjacent to stream channels. The erosional and piping characteristics in Ntabelanga suggest the presence of dispersive agents in soils (DWA, 2013).

**Soil sampling and laboratory analyses**

Twenty-one soil samples were randomly selected from global positioning system (GPS) generated coordinates mapped on the area and representing existing areas of soil associations. The soil samples were taken according to naturally occurring soil horizons of the soil associations. Some of the sampling point were severely eroded and lacked the A-horizon and others were rocky just below the A-horizon. The sampled soils were then composited into 7 samples according to the existing areas of soil associations in the Ntabelanga area (Table 1). The naturally occurring soil horizons were orthic A, melanic A, pedocutanic B, red apedal B, prismacutanic B, G-horizon and saprolite (Table 1).

The soils were analysed for primary particle size distribution by the hydrometer method as described by Okalebo et al. (2000) and total SOC was determined through the wet acid digestion Walkley-Black method (Nelson and Sommers, 1996). Soil structural index (SI) was estimated according to Reynolds et al. (2007) as:

\[
SI = \left(1 - \frac{\text{OC}}{0.12} \times 100\right)
\]

where OC is organic carbon.

Clay ratio was calculated according to Singh and Khera (2008) using percentages of the particle sizes obtained per horizon as follows:

\[
\text{Clay ratio} = \frac{\text{sand} + \text{silt}}{\text{clay}}
\]

**Laboratory soil incubation**

To determine the effects of litter quality on soil loss by splash erosion, we conducted a soil incubation experiment with organic litter of different qualities. Soil from the seven horizons collected in the Ntabelanga area was air dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve. The soil was then pestled to destroy all macroaggregates (> 0.25 mm). After macroaggregate destruction, the samples were sieved (0.25 mm) and the bigger fractions (> 0.25 mm) were discarded. *V. karroo* leaf (C/N = 23.8) and low-quality *Z. mays* stover litter (C/N = 37.4) were used in the incubation. The plant materials were shredded and oven dried at 60°C. After drying, the litter was ground to pass through a 2-mm sieve. Subsamples of each ground litter type were taken and measured for total C and N contents. The N was determined by an automated wet chemistry analyzer, after a digestion with

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil association</th>
<th>Horizon</th>
<th>Sand</th>
<th>Clay</th>
<th>Silt</th>
<th>SOC</th>
<th>Clay ratio</th>
<th>SI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Orthic (ot.s)</td>
<td>57.8</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wet</td>
<td>G-horizon (gh)</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanic</td>
<td>Melanic A (ml.s)</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-duplex</td>
<td>Pedocutanic B (vp)</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apedal</td>
<td>Red apedal B (re)</td>
<td>60.5</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duplex</td>
<td>Prismacutanic B (pr)</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow</td>
<td>Saprolite (so)</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The saprolite (so) was found on the surface.

---

TABLE 1

Descriptive statistics of mean soil particle size distribution, soil organic carbon (SOC) content, clay ratio and structural stability indices of the Ntabelanga soil associations used in the incubation experiments
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H$_2$SO$_4$ and Cu-KSO$_4$ which converts all the organic nitrogen into NH$_4^+$-N. The plant litters were then mixed with 600 g of soil at a rate of 2.28 g OM/100 g soil and 2.43 g OM/100 g soil for V. karroo leaves and Z. mays stover, respectively. The mixtures constituted at least 2% SOC (the threshold SOC content for aggregate stability) (Kay and Angers, 2000) since the average SOC of the seven horizons was 0.83% (Table 1). A control treatment with no litter amendment was included. The treatments were arranged as a 7 × 3 factorial in a completely randomized design (CRD) and replicated. The amended soil was then put in 1000-mL jars and incubated at 60% water-holding capacity and a temperature of 25°C for 30 weeks. The soil moisture levels in the jars were adjusted to 30% water-holding capacity for 2 days per week to create a dry condition during soil incubation.

A subsample (90 g) was taken from each jar and analysed for soil loss by splash erosion. The measurements were done at 1, 3, 8, 14, 23 and 30 weeks after incubation. Measurements of splash erosion

Soil losses were measured by a rainfall simulator following a modified procedure by Nciziah and Wakiindiki (2014). Briefly, rainfall was applied either as an 8-min single rainstorm (SR) or 4 × 2-min intermittent rainstorms (IR) separated by a 72-h drying period. The rainstorm patterns and drying periods were chosen to mimic the natural climatic conditions (Allen et al., 2011). Three runs of rainfall simulations were conducted per soil sample. A rainfall simulator (LUW, Eijkelkamp Equipment, 6897 ZG Giesbeck, Netherlands) was used. The simulator consists of 49 capillary tubes that applies raindrops of 5.9 mm in diameter. Splash cups filled with soil were saturated with distilled water. The samples were then subjected to simulated rainfall at an intensity of 360 mm/h (= 60 mm/h natural rainstorm with time-specific energy of 1 440 J/(m²-h)) (Martin et al., 2010). The high intensity was to compensate for the short falling distance (0.4 m) used when calibrating the rainfall simulator. After each rainstorm, the splashed sediments collected in the splash plate were washed into a jar, oven dried at 105°C for 24 h and weighed. The splash weight and sediment weights from the IR per sample were summed up and soil loss in tonnes per hectare calculated as follows:

$$ S = \frac{D_2 - D_1}{(t_2 - t_1)A} $$

where $S$ is the splash rate of a given rainfall period (g/(min·m²)); $D_1$, $D_2$ represent the total detachment after time $t_1$, $t_2$, respectively (g); $t_1$, $t_2$ represent the rainfall duration (min); $A$ represents the area of splash plate (0.07 m²).

Measurement of soil organic carbon (SOC) loss

The loss of SOC by splash erosion was obtained from the concentration of OC in the splashed sediments. The OC concentration was analysed by the dry combustion method using a LECO RC-612 multiphase carbon analyser designed to differentiate forms of carbon by oxidation temperature (Nelson and Sommers, 1996). A sub-sample of the < 2 mm fraction was inserted into a quartz tube, heated to 550°C and the OC oxidized to CO$_2$, which is selectively detected by an infrared gas analyser. The OC of the soil surface prior to splash was determined using the same procedure for each soil type and grain size fraction (silt-clay and fine sand) at the beginning of the experiment. OC concentration in the sediments was expressed as the enrichment ratio of organic carbon (ERoc):

$$ ERoc = \frac{SOC concentration in splashed soil}{SOC concentration in original soil} $$

Values of ERoc above 1 indicated higher OC concentration in sediments than in the original soils.

Statistical analyses

A repeated measure analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was run to compare soil loss (collected sediments) and ERoc concentration means in sediments under the two rainstorms. Means were separated using the Tukey test ($p < 0.05$). All data were analysed using JMP version 11.0.0 statistical software (SAS Institute, 2010).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Soil organic carbon for the seven horizons ranged from 0.39 to 1.61%. The saprolite was found on the surface and this could be the reason for the higher SOC content noted (Table 1). The Orthic A and Red Apedal B had most particles in the > 0.002 mm size range. Melanic A and the Pedocutanic B had the most clay content and least sand particles, as shown by the low clay ratio values (Table 1).

Considering that a structural index (SI) > 9% indicates a stable soil structure, < 7% < SI < 9% a low degrading risk, 5% < SI < 7% a high degrading risk, and 5% < SI < 6% a high degrading risk, and SI < 5% structurally degraded soils (Reynolds et al., 2009), six out of the seven soil horizons indicated structurally degraded soils, having SI values < 5% (Table 1). This situation is a consequence of the sub-optimal levels of SOC observed in all seven soil horizons.

Soil loss and ERoc loss under the intermittent rainstorm (IR) and single rainstorm (SR) were significantly ($p < 0.05$) influenced by time × soil horizon × litter quality interactions (Table 2). Soil loss (t/ha) decreased from Week 1 to Week 8 of incubation, and thereafter increased under IR (Fig. 1). The lowest quantities of sediments were collected at 8 weeks after incubation in all soil horizons. The highest quantity of sediment was collected in the pr and lowest in the ml.s and so (Figs 1 and 2). Litter source had no effect on the quantities of splashed sediments per soil horizon (Fig. 1). The observed soil loss in the soil horizons under IR from highest to lowest were: pr > vp > gh > ot.s > re ≥ so > ml.s (Fig. 1).

The quantities of soil sediments across the soil horizons initially decreased then became constant and increased from Week 23 after incubation under both IR and SR in most soil horizons except in pr where the soil loss was constantly high over the entire incubation period (Figs 1 and 2). There were no differences ($p < 0.05$) observed between the control and other treatments at Weeks 1 and 30 of incubation (Fig. 1). Soil loss (t/ha) decreased from Weeks 1 to 14 of incubation, and thereafter increased under SR. The lowest quantities of sediments were also collected at 8 weeks after incubation in all soil horizons (Fig. 2).

The observed soil loss in the soil horizons under SR, from highest to lowest, for the different soils were: pr > vp > gh > ot.s ≥ re ≥ so > ml.s (Fig. 2). Generally, 13% and 25% more sediments were splashed under IR than SR at Weeks 1, 3 and 30, and Weeks 8, 14 and 23 after incubation, respectively (Figs 1 and 2).
Repeated measures ANOVA for soil loss and enrichment ratio of organic carbon (ERoc) in splashed sediments under intermittent (IR) and single (SR) rainstorm patterns following 30 weeks of incubation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Soil loss</th>
<th>ERoc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>SR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizon (H)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{6,42}$</td>
<td>14.099</td>
<td>18.775</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic (O)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{2,42}$</td>
<td>9.720</td>
<td>4.274</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H×O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{12,42}$</td>
<td>0.978</td>
<td>1.890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>Ns</td>
<td>0.0387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Within subjects</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time (T)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{5,210}$</td>
<td>43.600</td>
<td>45.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T×H</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{30,210}$</td>
<td>6.644</td>
<td>11.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T×L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{8,210}$</td>
<td>7.159</td>
<td>3.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T×H×L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{60,210}$</td>
<td>1.814</td>
<td>2.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>0.0084</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Greenhouse-Geisser adjusted values of $P$ for within subject factors: Ns = not significant at $P < 0.05$.

The recent South African soil erosion map (period: 2000 to 2005) defined soil-loss classes in t/(ha·yr) as: very low (0 to 5); low (5 to 12); moderate (12 to 25); high (25 to 60); very high (60 to 150) and extremely high (> 150) (www.nda.agric.za/docs/StratPlan07/07sectoral.pdf). According to this, the soil loss for the seven soil horizons ranged from very low in ml.s to high in pr for both IR and SR (Figs 1 and 2). The exchangeable Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ dominated the exchange complexes of the studied soils (Parwada and Van Tol, 2016). The adsorbed cations (Ca$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$) on the clay particles reduced the negative charge thereby causing loss of negativity on the clay particles, and hence attracting the negatively charged organic matter and increasing aggregation. Therefore, the noted differences could be due to high stability of aggregates, which increased with an increase in clay content, as suggested by Kay and Anger (1999). Furthermore, Wuddivira et al. (2009) found that the extent of soil particle detachment from the surface was associated with physical and chemical properties, particularly primary size distribution. The organic matter associated with the clay particles and presumably adsorbed on the clay particles is the fraction most effective in aggregate stabilization (Barthes et al., 1999) and could have resulted to low soil loss in the ml.s and vp horizons (Figs 1 and 2). The clay particles bind aggregates together thus contributing to cohesive strength of the aggregates against raindrop splash effects under the two rainstorm patterns.

The higher soil loss noted under IR than SR could be due to the fact that the raindrops in the first rainstorm had broken the aggregate bonds, which could not recover in the 72 h before the second storm. These results agree with those of Jiang and Liu (1989), who observed that rainfall of maximum intensity for a short duration has the most significant effects on splash erosion.

![Figure 1](https://doi.org/10.4314/wsa.v45i1.02)

Soil loss (t/ha) under intermittent rainfall (IR) pattern during the 30-week incubation period
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However, splash erosion also relates to soil properties. Erosion impedes the development of soil structure (Poch and Antunez, 2010) as aggregates can build up only when losses of finer particles and cementing agents are limited (Shi et al., 2010) and, consequently, when erosion is not too intense. Knapen et al. (2008) observed aggregate consolidation with increasing wetting and drying cycles, but our results showed that a drying period of 72 h (Fig. 1) was not enough to confer aggregation that could resist further raindrop splash effects.

The observed reduction in soil loss from Weeks 1 to 8 under IR and up to 14 weeks of incubation under SR (Figs 1 and 2) showed a positive effect of litter on soil aggregate stability to reduce splash erosion. The added litter linearly increased soil resistance to splash erosion, shown by decreasing quantities of sediments across soil horizons (Figs 1 and 2) and the maximum stabilizing effects attained at 8 weeks of incubation for which the least amount of soil was splashed (Figs 1 and 2). The gradual increase in quantities of sediments observed from Weeks 8 and 14 under IR and SR, respectively, showed stabilizing effects of organic matter on soil aggregates declining with time. This is in agreement with Barthes et al. (1999) who found an inverse relationship between soil aggregate stability and soil erodibility. An increase in soil loss after Week 8 of incubation could be due to the declining effectiveness of the added litter on soil aggregate stability.

The effect of V. k arroo and Z. mays litter on the amount of splashed sediments was observed to be statistically (P < 0.05) the same within a soil horizon (Figs 1 and 2). These results disagree with observations by Conde et al. (2005), Guenet et al. (2010) and Potthast et al. (2010) who observed that addition of higher quality substrate (lower C/N ratio of < 24 and lower lignin content) led to greater soil aggregation than the addition of lower quality (C/N > 24) substrate, and hence greater resistance to detachment. This could be due to the fact that V. k arroo leaf quality was not very high compared to Z. mays stover and could be classified as intermediate quality, associated with a balance between immobilization and mineralization. However, the results were in agreement with those of Jacob et al. (2010), who observed that C/N ratio had no influence on different forest litter materials and suggested that other factors, such as lignin/N ratio or secondary metabolites like polyphenols, influence decomposability of litter. Blair et al. (2005) observed a greater aggregate stability in slower decomposing (C/N > 24) than high-quality litter (C/N < 24). In this study, C/N ratio was not a good index for litter quality.

Enrichment ratio of organic carbon (ERoc) per soil horizon was proportional to the initial SOC content of the soil horizon (Table 1). The higher the initial SOC content the higher the ERoc and vice versa (Figs 3 and 4). The ERoc in the litter-amended soils gave rankings of soils from highest to lowest as: so > pr ≥ vp ≥ ml.s ≥ gh ≥ ot.s ≥ re under both IR and SR (Figs 3 and 4). The ERoc decreased with time over the entire incubation period under both rainstorms, except for an increase (P < 0.05) observed in the pr at Week 23 under SR (Fig. 4).

ERoc was significantly (P < 0.05) higher under IR than SR from 1 to 8 weeks of incubation. Thereafter, ERoc was not different (P > 0.05; Figs 3 and 4).

Values of ERoc above 1 indicated higher OC concentration in sediments than in the original soils. Splashed sediments had more OC at Weeks 1 and 3 after incubation than the original soils under the IR and SR in most soil horizons, except in so where OC was constantly high throughout the incubation period.
Figure 3
Enrichment ratio of organic carbon (ERoc) under intermittent rainfall (IR) pattern during the 30-week incubation period

Figure 4
Enrichment ratio of organic carbon (ERoc) under single rainstorm (SR) rainfall pattern during the 30-week incubation period

(Figs 3 and 4). The ERoc decreased from Week 8 to Week 30 after incubation in most soil horizons, except so (Figs 3 and 4)

The ERoc was not proportional to the quantities of splashed sediments. In this study most soil was splashed in pr and least in ml.s and so (Figs 1 and 2), but ERoc was highest in re and lowest in so (Figs 3 and 4). This strongly suggests that ERoc was directly dependent on soil properties, particularly the initial SOC content (Table 1). The mean soil loss rates and ERoc
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in the same soil horizons increased under the IR relative to SR at Weeks 1, 3, 8 and 30 after incubation. However, EROC values were lower under the IR and at 14 and 23 weeks after incubation (Figs 3 and 4). These findings suggest that EROC was significantly affected by rainstorm patterns. These results are similar to those of Li et al. (2016), who observed a SOC relationship with rainstorm patterns.

The decline in EROC observed with time could be due to the fact that most of the added OM would have decomposed and mineralized at these later stages of the incubation. Significantly ($P < 0.05$) larger EROC between the control and the treatment per soil horizon during this declining stage could suggest the varying abilities of the soil horizons to protect SOC against further decomposition. Higher EROC was observed in re and so than other soil horizons due to the differences in initial OC content (Table 1). The re is characterized by weaker structure and the 50 grades into relatively un-weathered rock materials (Soil Classification Working Group, 1991), which therefore exposed more SOC to splash erosion under both IR and SR. This is similar to observations of Hassink et al. (1993) who found that organic matter is often closely associated with clay particles, or encapsulated in micro- and meso-aggregates, while non-protected organic material was mainly present in soils with more sand particles. The sandy soils result in fast turnover and hence low SOC accumulation. Application of organic residues can sustain SOC (Palm et al., 2001), and improve aggregate stability and thus resistance to rainfall splash impact.

**CONCLUSION**

Organic litter was effective in alleviating disruptive forces of raindrops on soils, under both IR and SR. Litter quality (V. karroo leaf (C/N = 23.8) and Z. mays stover (C/N = 37.4)) effect on soil loss and EROC was the same within a soil horizon and across soil horizons, suggesting that not all litter sources can be used to stabilize soil against splash erosion. The soil loss was gradually reduced up to 8 weeks under IR and 14 weeks under SR, and the SOC loss increased its effect in stabilizing soil aggregates against splash erosion, leading to an increase in soil loss under both rainstorm patterns. Hence addition of fresh litter after these respective times is recommended in order to maintain the soil stability against splash erosion. There were more, 13% and 25%, splashed sediments under IR than SR at Weeks 1, 3, and 30, and Weeks 8, 14 and 23 after incubation, respectively. Therefore, more attention should be given to these soils, especially when there are more intermittent rainstorms than single storms.

The EROC was proportional to the initial SOC content of the soils. Soil horizons with higher initial SOC content had higher EROC than soils with lower initial SOC content. In this regard, the rainstorm pattern and the original SOC content were the main factors by which different soils influenced EROC. The study suggests that soil properties have a greater impact on the role of litter against soil splash erosion and EROC than rainfall characteristics. However, more rainfall patterns should be investigated for these soils.
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